

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE                            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|------------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/808,812             | 03/25/2004                             | Veerle Verschueren   | 227965 7592             |                  |  |
| 23460                  | 7590 09/26/2005                        |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
|                        | OIT & MAYER, LTD<br>ENTIAL PLAZA, SUIT | WALKE, AMANDA C      |                         |                  |  |
|                        | STETSON AVENUE                         |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780 |  |                      | 1752                    |                  |  |
|                        |  |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)       |  |  |
|-----------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 10/808,812      | VERSCHUEREN ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit           |  |  |
| Amanda C. Walke | 1752               |  |  |

| Before the Filling of all Appear Brief  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Amanda C. Walke  | 1752  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the o  | correspondence add  | ress                                   |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 01 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH   | IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION  | FOR ALLOWANCE.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> </ol>   | n the same day as filing a Notice of<br>wing replies: (1) an amendment, a<br>otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in<br>fiance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep | f Appeal. To avoid ab<br>ffidavit, or other evide<br>compliance with 37 ( | ence, which<br>CFR 41.31; or           |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)  | risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th<br>an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o<br>. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F                             | f the final rejection.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL | which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a<br>and the corresponding amount of the fee.<br>atutory period for reply originally set in the                  | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)                     | on fee under 37<br>as set forth in (b) |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must bandents</li> </ol>  | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)   | ), to avoid dismissal o   | of the appeal.                         |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>   | onsideration and/or search (see NC<br>ow);   | TE below);  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1   |  | jected claims.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br/>the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | allowable if submitted in a separate   | , timely filed amendm   | nent canceling                         |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-9 and 11-21.  | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended.  | rill be entered and an  | explanation of                         |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar<br/>and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  | nd sufficient reasons why the affida   | vit or other evidence   | is necessary                           |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe  | al and/or appellant fa  | ils to provide a                       |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>  |  | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by  | ut does NOT place the application i  | n condition for allowa  | ince because:                          |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. Other:</li> </ul>  | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper  | No(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. [   |  | Amanda CWalke   | a                                      |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Examiner 9/20/05 Art Unit: 1752

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has amended the instant claims to require the particles to be at least 0.4 microns which has not been previously searched.